## Message

From: Jon, Jay [Jon.Jay@epa.gov]
Sent: 1/29/2020 11:51:27 AM

To: Seel, Evelyn [seel.evelyn@epa.gov]
CC: Scarano, Louis [Scarano.Louis@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Next Draft of Asbestos RE for OCSPP IO Review/Possible IA/IA Review

Attachments: Asbestos SACC Peer Review Charge Questions 1-17-20.docx; Asbestos SR Supplemental File Eco Data Evaluation

011920.pdf; Asbestos\_SR Supplemental File\_Eng Data Evaluation 01152020.pdf; Asbestos\_SR Supplemental File\_Occupational and Release Data Evaluation Common Sources\_ 090319.pdf; Exposure Asbestos\_data\_eval.pdf;

Exposure Extraction Data 082019.docx; Asbestos RE draft fact sheet 10-28-19\_no comments.docx; SYS REV\_Fate\_DataExtact\_Asbestos\_08162019.docx; SR Supplement\_HH\_10.15.19\_no comments.version2.docx; Asbestos\_PChem\_EvaluationTables\_102519.docx; Asbestos RE\_1-28-20.docx; Cover Note\_Jan\_28\_2020.docx

Lynn,

I need your input.

Could you take a look at Exposure (Ch.2)-7 on page 7 of the cover note.

The section 2.3.1.3 contains a paragraph that discusses PPE and APFs.

Let me know if you have any thoughts.

Thanks.

Jay

From: Scarano, Louis <Scarano.Louis@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2020 8:04 PM

**To:** Anderson, Steve <Anderson.Steve@epa.gov>; Austin, Sharon <Austin.Sharon@epa.gov>; Bateson, Thomas <Bateson.Thomas@epa.gov>; Carmichael, Lea <Carmichael.Lea@epa.gov>; Courtnage, Robert

<Courtnage.Robert@EPA.GOV>; Cybulski, Walter <Cybulski.Walter@epa.gov>; Jon, Jay <Jon.Jay@epa.gov>; Kopylev, Leonid <Kopylev.Leonid@epa.gov>; Lavoie, Emma <Lavoie.Emma@epa.gov>; Lee, Mari <Lee.Mari@epa.gov>; Nguyen, Amelia <nguyen.amelia@epa.gov>; Pfahles-Hutchens, Andrea <Pfahles-Hutchens.Andrea@epa.gov>; Sasidharan, Abhilash <sasidharan.abhilash@epa.gov>; Scarano, Louis <Scarano.Louis@epa.gov>; Silagi, William

<Silagi.William@epa.gov>; Sumner, Mitchell <sumner.mitchell@epa.gov>; Winchester, Erik <Winchester.Erik@epa.gov>; Vuilleumier, Kevin <vuilleumier.kevin@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Next Draft of Asbestos RE for OCSPP IO Review/Possible IA/IA Review

FYI....just sent this forward.

I urge all of you to look carefully at the main body of the cover note (identifies all the David Fisher comments not addressed).

Thank you all and let's see what happens next....

Regards,

Louis (Gino) Scarano, PhD

Senior Science Advisor, Risk Assessment Division (RAD)

**US EPA** 

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP)

Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT)

Risk Assessment Division (RAD)

1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW (Mail Code 7403M)

Washington, DC 20460 Desk Phone: 202-564-2851 Mobile: 202-340-5726

Fax: 202-564-7450

From: Scarano, Louis

Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2020 7:59 PM

To: Canavan, Sheila <Canavan.Sheila@epa.gov>; Barone, Stan <br/>barone.stan@epa.gov>; Fehrenbacher, Cathy

<<u>Fehrenbacher.Cathy@epa.gov</u>>; Schumacher, Alessandria <<u>Alessandria.Schumacher@icf.com</u>>

Subject: Next Draft of Asbestos RE for OCSPP IO Review/Possible IA/IA Review

All:

Attached are the following for review to go to the next step for asbestos – IA/IA review.

## 1. Cover Note – PLEASE OPEN THIS FIRST – and here are summary tables of the two main changes that were done

a. The occupational UTV gasket exposure scenario is now consistent with the consumer/DIY UTV exposure scenario

Changes in occupational UTV exposure numbers:

Scenario	Original	New	
Worker (central)	0.026	0.024	
Worker (high-end)	0.094	0.066	
ONU (central)	0.005	0.005 (no change)	
ONU (high-end)	0.016	0.015	

## Changes in occupational UTV <u>risk numbers</u>:

Scenario	Original	New
Worker (central)	5.8 E-4	5.3 E-4
Worker (high-end)	2.1 E-3	1.5 E-3
ONU (central)	1.1 E-4	1.1 E-4 (no change)
ONU (high-end)	3.5 E-4	3.3 E-4

b. A sensitivity analysis has been done and is presented with different exposure duration assumptions for the DIYer and bystanders for the consumer brake and UTV scenarios (Section 4.3.8 and new Appendix M).

Table 4-49: Results of Sensitivity Analysis of Exposure Assumptions for Consumer DIY/Bystander Scenarios<sup>1</sup>

Sensitivity Analysis	DIY (age at start and age at end of exposure)	Bystander (age at start and age at end of exposure)	Change in Risk from Exceedence to No Exceedence	Scenario Affected
Baseline	16-78	0-78	None	17/24 Exceed Benchmarks
1	16-36	0-20	1/24	DIY user, Brake repair, 30 min/day, high end
2	20-60	0-40	0/24	None

Sensitivity Analysis		Bystander (age at start and age at end of exposure)	Change in Risk from Exceedence to No Exceedence	Scenario Affected
3	20-40	0-40	1/24	DIY user, Brake repair, 30 min/day, high end
4	30-70	0-40	1/24	DIY user, Brake repair, 30 min/day, high end
5	30-50	0-20	1/24	DIY user, Brake repair, 30 min/day, high end

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes all brake repair/replacement and gasket repair replacement scenarios – a total of 24. See Table 4-45

2. Risk Evaluation (Asbestos RE\_1-28-20)

These files have not changed from the e-mail/files I sent up on 1-17-2020:

- 1. P/chem data evaluation supplemental file
- 2. Env fate data evaluation supplemental file
- 3. Engineering data evaluation (release/occupation common sources)
- 4. Engineering data evaluation (data evaluation)
- 5. Exposure data evaluation supplemental file
- 6. Exposure data extraction supplemental file
- 7. Eco data evaluation supplemental file
- 8. Health data evaluation and data extraction supplemental file
- 9. Fact sheet
- 10. Charge questions

Let me know if you have any questions – I will be at the NAM workshop over at PY all day tomorrow; see you Thursday morning!

## Regards,

Louis (Gino) Scarano, PhD

Senior Science Advisor, Risk Assessment Division (RAD)

**US EPA** 

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP)

Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT)

Risk Assessment Division (RAD)

1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW (Mail Code 7403M)

Washington, DC 20460 Desk Phone: 202-564-2851 Mobile: 202-340-5726

Fax: 202-564-7450